

ENTERED

July 28, 2016

David J. Bradley, Clerk

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
CORPUS CHRISTI DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	§	
	§	
VS.	§	CRIMINAL ACTION NO. 2:16-CR-495-1
	§	
RONALDO ALEXANDER	§	
HERNANDEZ; aka ROLANDO	§	
ALEXANDER HERNANDEZ; aka	§	
ROLANDO HERNANDEZ-MARTINEZ	§	

OPINION AND ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR CONTINUANCE

On July 28, 2016, Defendant Hernandez moved for a continuance of the final pretrial conference and jury selection and trial (D.E. 13). The Defendant's counsel was appointed only two days ago because the Defendant requested that new counsel be appointed. Defendant and his newly appointed counsel had not yet had adequate time to review the discovery and the Defendant had not had adequate time to consider whether he wished to have a trial or plead guilty. The United States was unopposed to the motion.

Under the Speedy Trial Act, a district court may grant a continuance and exclude the resulting delay from the time in which a trial must commence if it makes on-the-record findings that the ends of justice served by granting the continuance outweigh the public's and defendant's interests in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7).

Having considered the Defendant's motion, the Court finds that the failure to grant a continuance in this case would deny counsel for the defendant the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.

Accordingly, the Court finds that the ends of justice are served by granting a continuance in this case and outweigh the best interests of the public and Defendant in a speedy trial.

The Court **GRANTS** the Defendant's motion and **CONTINUES** the final pretrial conference until **August 10, 2016, at 8:30 a.m.** before undersigned and schedules the jury selection and trial for **September 6, 2016, at 9:00 a.m.** before Hon. Nelva Gonzales Ramos.

ORDERED this 28th day of July, 2016.



B. JANICE ELLINGTON
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE